UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,528	03/17/2004	Lajos Hegedus	01662/53303	3513
26646 KENYON & K	7590 10/04/2007 & KENYON LLP	EXAM	INER	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			WEGERT, SANDRA L	
NEW TORK,	N I 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@kenyon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/802,528	HEGEDUS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sandra Wegert	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	July 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 42-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 52,53 and 61-66 is/a 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 42-51 and 54-60 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	are withdrawn from consi	ideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/04.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,528

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment and Election, sent 12 July 2007, and the Information Disclosure Statement, submitted 17 March 2004 have been entered into the record. Applicants Cancelled claims 1-41 and added new claims 42-66.

Applicants elected Invention I (Claims 42-60), as well as an adriamicine analog and serum albumin. Applicants also discussed and defined the subgenera disclosed in Claims 46 and 58. Claims 52, 53 and 61-66 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 42-51 and 54-60 are under consideration in the instant application.

Claim Rejections/Objections

Claim Rejections: Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/802,528

Art Unit: 1647

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 42-51 and 54-60 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-41 of US Patent 6,743,826 (Hegedus, 2004). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims an almost identical invention to that of the patent.

The claims of the instant application and US Patent 6,743,826 are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons:

Instant claims 42-51 and 54-60 are directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a composition having a high solubility (1X10⁻⁴ M) and a high binding affinity to plasma proteins, such that a compound bound to the composition will be protein-bound and in spontaneous equilibrium with the plasma protein. Dependent claims specify the compounds that are bound (most of which are pharmaceutical agents) as well as the plasma proteins comprising the composition. Claims 1-41 of Patent 6,743,826 are drawn to the same composition as the instant application, with the same specified variations, and often using the same language in the claims, including the exact same solubility requirements and the same percentage of bound compound.

The specifications and drawings of each application or Patent are identical. Each specification discloses methods of making the compositions using several common

Application/Control Number: 10/802,528

Art Unit: 1647

pharmaceutical agents bound with albumin and gamma-globulin. Both specifications tested the binding affinities of the prepared compositions, used HPLC to confirm their chemical identities, and prepared lyophilized samples of the compositions suitable for clinical administration.

Since the compositions claimed in the instant application overlap in scope with those in the Patent or are, in many claims, *identical*, a Double-Patenting rejection is appropriate.

Conclusion: Claims 42-51 and 54-60 are not allowable for the reasons outlined above.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (571) 272-0895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Manjunath Rao, can be reached at (571) 272-0939.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Art Unit: 1647

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLW

24 September 2007

EILEEN B. O'HARA PRIMARY EXAMINED